

Towards a Research Integrity Culture at Universities: From Recommendations to Implementation

LERU advice paper
January 2020

Executive summary

The excellence of, and trust in, research produced by universities is inherently linked to the integrity of their researchers. Given that the research process increasingly involves collaboration that transcends disciplinary, institutional and national boundaries, universities have a collective responsibility in developing and implementing a research and educational environment which supports research integrity, thereby maintaining and strengthening confidence in their researchers' work.

As a network of 23 research-intensive universities within Europe, LERU wants to endorse this collective responsibility by encouraging its members and others to commit to making issues of research integrity part of their strategy (e.g. by developing a research integrity development plan which may be part of, or complimentary to, their general strategic plan). This paper outlines how this could be done. We propose five key actions which could support research integrity within universities:

1. Universities should empower sound research

Universities should ensure that the research performed by their researchers is both sound and verifiable. This can be done by implementing measures to guard against suboptimal research practices, some of which are referred to as 'sloppy science' or 'questionable research practices' and can be applied across the whole project lifecycle. These include measures to improve research design and conduct, improving the soundness of reporting results, valuing negative results and replication studies, facilitating cooperative and multidisciplinary team work and ensuring a continuous effort is made in improving approaches to research integrity within a university.

2. Universities should educate researchers in research integrity at all academic career levels

It is important that all researchers have the necessary skills to be able to conduct their research and themselves with integrity. Training could focus specifically on research integrity as a specific topic, or focus on providing further guidance on practical

measures to promote research integrity. The overall aim of research integrity training should be to empower researchers to recognise and deal with problems of research integrity that they may face. Research integrity training should be available across all career levels from undergraduate to senior researchers and should cover all disciplines. Supervisors should receive specific training on how to supervise with integrity. Given the international nature of research, local, national and international differences in research integrity should be addressed and common standards developed for joint projects.

3. Universities should ensure that institutional guidelines and support structures are put in place.

The development of institutional guidelines and the establishment of institutional support structures and functions are essential in the framework of a research integrity policy. Staff with a specific responsibility for research integrity should be appointed to execute and monitor the university's research integrity policy. Staff should be able to raise any concerns so confidential counsellors or advisors should be appointed at both the university and faculty level. Safe harbours should be developed to avoid anonymous reporting, and anonymous complaints should only be investigated in exceptional circumstances. Universities should develop a committee or committees to handle allegations of misconduct if not installed at a national level.

4. Universities should be transparent and accountable

In recent years there has been a cultural change in which the outcomes of research are expected to be available to a wider public, in what has been termed 'open science'. This brings both opportunities and challenges with regard to research integrity and researchers should be made aware of this. With research open to a wider public, there are opportunities for greater awareness and scrutiny of research results. Universities should encourage researchers to make research data 'open' and provide a research infrastructure in which responsible management of research data is facilitated. Guidance should be developed for researchers on the appropriate use of secondary data from other sources. Research should be credited in a proper and transparent way through responsible authorship or acknowledgment, and previously published research should be properly cited.

More broadly, universities should also be transparent at the level of the commitment to research integrity starting with an easy access to documentation on research integrity, procedures for handling allegations and a way of reporting allegations. Finally, universities are encouraged to participate in the research integrity debate at the regional, national or international level.

5. Universities should foster a research integrity culture

Research integrity should be part of the global research culture at universities. This will require a realignment of incentives within the university environment, where a reward system is introduced which is fairer to researchers who may conduct excellent but not newsworthy research. Universities should monitor and improve the research integrity climate by looking at the effectiveness of research integrity measures over time to assess the impact of initiatives taken to improve integrity.

This paper consists of three parts:

- In Part 1, we present an overview of the recommendations of the paper in an easy to read manner. This section can be used by readers as a quick guide to check an individual university's research integrity strategy against or to identify particular elements of the main paper which they may be particularly interested in.
- In Part 2, we present the main part of the paper which outlines the five actions in more detail, together with a more detailed rationale for each of the recommendations mentioned within each of these actions.
- In Part 3, we outline some of the actions LERU universities have done to improve research integrity at their universities. We hope that this will act as an inspiration to other universities in developing their own ways to improve the research integrity culture within their institutions.

This paper has been written to provide universities with an aspirational framework for developing their own research integrity strategy. The actual recommendations which will be employed will, of course, depend on the specific circumstances at a particular university.

Acknowledgements

The lead authors of this paper are Inge Lerouge (Research Integrity & Ethics Coordinator, KU Leuven) and Antoine Hol (School of Law, Utrecht University). They were supported by Claire Gray (LERU office).

The authors would like to express a special thanks to Luc Sels (rector of KU Leuven) for his valuable and constructive recommendations on this paper.

They would like to thank everyone from the LERU Research Integrity Thematic Group and beyond who provided case studies and comments on the text. This includes: Niek Brunsvelde and Frans Oort (University of Amsterdam); Itziar de Lecuona (Universitat de Barcelona); Rhys Morgan and Sinead Healy (University of Cambridge); Lorraine Leeson and various members of the Research Integrity Policy Committee (Trinity College Dublin); Alan Campbell, Malcolm Macleod and Qianying Wang (University of Edinburgh); Gisela Riescher and Tobias Haas (University of Freiburg); Laure Ognois (Université de Genève); Jörg Pross and Tobias Just (Universität Heidelberg); Erika Löfström and Sakari Melander (University of Helsinki); Nick den Hollander and Frits Rosendaal (Universiteit Leiden); Magdalena Morawska and Rowena Lamb (University College London); Mats Johansson (Lund University); Guiseppe Testa (University of Milan); Kathryn Dally (University of Oxford); Anouk Barberousse (Sorbonne University); Nicolas Giuseppone (University of Strasbourg); Stefanie Kahmen, Michael Schaepman, Lisa Müller, Marc Thommen and various scientists from the Centre for Reproducible Science (Leonhard Held, Marco Steenbergen and Eva Furrer) (University of Zurich).

They would like to thank the LERU Ethics Thematic Group, and particularly Franck Meijboom, Mariëtte van den Hoven (Utrecht University); Peter Sandøe and Mikkel Willum Johansen (University of Copenhagen) and Linda Hogan (Trinity College Dublin) for their valuable thoughts on research integrity and ethics training at universities. We would also like to thank Eero Lehtonen (University of Helsinki), a member of the LERU Protection of Animals used for Scientific Purposes Thematic Group, for constructive discussions in the early stages of writing this paper.

The authors are grateful to the LERU Research Policy Group, the LERU Senior Officers, the Board of Directors and the Rectors' Assembly for their valuable input into, and enthusiasm for this paper.

LEAGUE OF EUROPEAN RESEARCH UNIVERSITIES

University of Amsterdam - Universitat de Barcelona - University of Cambridge - University of Copenhagen - Trinity College Dublin - University of Edinburgh - University of Freiburg - Université de Genève - Universität Heidelberg - University of Helsinki - Universiteit Leiden - KU Leuven - Imperial College London - University College London - Lund University - University of Milan - Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München - University of Oxford - Sorbonne University - Université Paris Saclay - University of Strasbourg - Utrecht University - University of Zurich

About LERU

LERU was founded in 2002 as an association of research-intensive universities sharing the values of high-quality teaching in an environment of internationally competitive research. The League is committed to: education through an awareness of the frontiers of human understanding; the creation of new knowledge through basic research, which is the ultimate source of innovation in society; the promotion of research across a broad front, which creates a unique capacity to reconfigure activities in response to new opportunities and problems. The purpose of the League is to advocate these values, to influence policy in Europe and to develop best practice through mutual exchange of experience.

LERU publications

LERU publishes its views on research and higher education in several types of publications, including position papers, advice papers, briefing papers and notes.

Position papers make high-level policy statements on a wide range of research and higher education issues. Looking across the horizon, they provide sharp and thought-provoking analyses on matters that are of interest not only to universities, but also to policy makers, governments, businesses and to society at large.

LERU publications are freely available in print and online at www.leru.org/publications.



All LERU publications, unless otherwise stated, are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

LERU Office

Minderbroedersstraat 8
3000 Leuven
Belgium

tel +32 16 32 99 71
info@leru.org
www.leru.org

@LERUnews